Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

D.T.E. 01-20

Respondent: Joseph Gansert

Title: Director

REQUEST: DTE Record Request

DATED: October 23, 2002

ITEM: RR-DTE 101 Has the Nortel contract that supports the discount reflected in

Exhibit VZ-37, part C-P3, page 2, line 35 been provided as part of the record in this case? If so, please provide a specific

reference to that document.

REPLY: The contract (BC 18841) was provided in Verizon MA's

response to information request ATT 3-1

VZ RR # 83

Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

D.T.E. 01-20

Respondent: Joseph Gansert

Title: <u>Director</u>

REQUEST: DTE Record Request

DATED: October 23, 2002

ITEM: RR-DTE 102 For the 16 switches shown in the table on page 10 of the

Rebuttal Testimony of Catherine Pitts, identify the winning vendor, the date the bid was awarded and the cost per line of

the losing vendor.

REPLY: The information presented is based upon Ms. Pitts' format.

The vendor switch bid comparison data shows the attached bid information. Verizon MA considers this information to be confidential and third party proprietary. This information will

be provided to parties subject to the terms of a mutually

acceptable Protective Agreement.

VZ RR # 84

Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

D.T.E. 01-20

Respondent: Joseph Gansert

Title: <u>Director</u>

REQUEST: DTE Record Request

DATED: October 23, 2002

ITEM: RR-DTE 103 Using Verizon's response to DTE VZ-3-7, Workpaper Part B,

identify the switches included in this workpaper that are not included in the table shown on page 10 of the Pitts rebuttal testimony. For each of these switches, identify the switch vendor and indicate whether the award was a result of a

competitive bid or a contract.

REPLY: The following list identifies 17 switches (and the respective

switch vendor) that were included in Workpaper, Part B but

not included in the Pitts rebuttal testimony. The Pitts

testimony included three Virginia switches (Hull St., Granby St., and Petersburg) that were not included in workpaper Part B. Although Verizon received bids for these three switches, the jobs were eventually cancelled. All switches listed below

except the four labeled "no bid" were competitively bid

Keyport - Lucent

Dumont- Lucent

Glassboro – Lucent

Haldon- Lucent

Bedford – Siemens

Ridgewood – Lucent

Westfield- Lucent

Midtown CG2 - Lucent

Nichols – Lucent – Not Bid

Palmer - Nortel

Waverly – Lucent – Not Bid Owego – Lucent- Not Bid

Falls Church - Siemens

RR-DTE 103 A REPLY (Cont.) Pe

Athol – Nortel Petersham – Lucent

Brockland – Lucent

Pearl Street - Nortel - Not Bid

VZ RR # 85